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#### Abstract

This paper offers a new current-mode first-order versatile filter employing two translinear current conveyors with controlled current gain and one grounded capacitor. The proposed filter offers the following features: realization of first-order transfer functions of low-pass, high-pass, and all-pass current responses from single topology, availability of non-inverting and inverting transfer functions for all current responses, electronic control of current gain for all current responses, no requirement of component-matching conditions for realizing all current responses, low-input impedance and high-output impedance which are required for current-mode circuits, and electronic control of the pole frequency for all current responses. The proposed first-order versatile filter is used to realize a quadrature sinusoidal oscillator to confirm the advantage of the new topology. To confirm the functionality and workability of new circuits, the proposed circuit and its application are simulated by the SPICE program using transistor model process parameters NR100N (NPN) and PR100N (PNP) of bipolar arrays ALA400-CBIC-R from AT\&T.
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## 1. Introduction

Second-generation current conveyor (CCII) has been widely accepted to realize currentmode filters because the CCII offers better signal bandwidth, linearity, and dynamic range performances compared with operational amplifier (op-amp) based [1,2]. First-order filters are important sub-circuits for applications such as quadrature oscillators [3], multiphase oscillators [4], and high-order filters [5]. Usually, universal first-order filters are the circuits that can realize three filtering functions such as low-pass (LP), high-pass (HP), and all-pass (AP), into a single topology. Several universal first-order filters are available in the open literature [6-34]. It should be noted that the universal first-order filter is an interesting topic for publications because many papers on this topic were published in a few years ago [28-34]. Considering the mode of operations, these first-order filters can be classified as voltage-mode (VM) filters [6-14], current-mode (CM) filters [15-30], and mixed-mode (MM) filters [31-34]. This work is focused on CM filters that should provide low-input and
high-output impedances, which is ideal for CM circuits because they can be connected to applications without buffer circuit requirements. Single-input three-output current filters are required because, when a single input signal is used, variant filtering functions can be obtained at the outputs without additional circuitry requirements, such as a current splitter circuit used to split a single currency into multiple currents for multi-input current filters.

Considering CM and MM filters in [15-34], only the circuits in [15,24] can realize six transfer functions into a single topology, namely, both non-inverting and inverting transfer functions of LP, HP, and AP are obtained. However, the current gain of these transfer functions cannot be controlled. The first-order filter that can adjust the current gain of transfer functions has been proposed in [16], but only three transfer functions of LP, HP, and AP filters are obtained. The circuits that offer low-input and high-output impedances have been reported in $[15,21,25,26,29]$, and several filters offer electronic control of the pole frequency $[17,25-27,30-32,34]$. However, these first-order filters cannot control the gain of transfer functions, and some of these filters offer only three transfer functions LP, HP, and AP filters. The circuits in $[16,19,24]$ use a floating capacitor, which is not ideal for integrated circuits. The circuits in $[29,34]$ require two identical input signals, so an additional current splitter circuit is needed.

Filters that can provide current gains of transfer functions are required because they can be used as a parameter for applications such as the condition of oscillation for oscillator circuits, which will be demonstrated in this paper. In addition, filters that offer the ability to electronically tune the pole frequency can provide advantages in case of easy compensation when the pole frequency deviates due to temperature, power supply, and process variations. Moreover, filters that can tune the pole frequency using a single parameter, such as a single bias current without matching conditions for any parameter during the tuning process, are of interest because they can be easily controlled in practice.

In this paper, a new current-mode current-controlled versatile first-order filter employing two translinear current conveyors with controlled current gain and one grounded capacitor is proposed. The circuit can simultaneously realize non-inverting and inverting transfer functions of LP, HP, and AP current answers with low-input and high-output impedances; hence six transfer functions can be obtained. The current gain and pole frequency of all transfer functions can be electronically controlled. To the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no first-order current filter published in the literature that works similarly to this work. The non-ideal analysis of the proposed filter is further investigated. The HP filter is chosen for application to the quadrature oscillator by incorporating a lossy integrator. The proposed first-order filter and quadrature oscillator are simulated using the SPICE program to validate the theoretical formulations. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the structure of the second-generation current-controlled current conveyor (CCCII) and the proposed current-mode versatile first-order filter. Section 3 provides the non-ideality analysis for the proposed circuit. Section 4 presents an application of a current-mode quadrature oscillator. The simulation results of the CCCII, the filter, and the oscillator are shown in Section 5. Section 6 concludes the paper.

## 2. Circuit Description

The second-generation current-controlled current conveyor (CCCII) was first introduced in [35]. Compared with the conventional second-generation current conveyors (CCII) that have three terminals ( $y-, x$-, and $z$-terminals), CCCII has parasitic resistance $R_{x}$ at the x-terminal that usually can be controlled by bias current, and its ideal characteristic can be given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
I_{y}  \tag{1}\\
V_{x} \\
I_{z}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & R_{x} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
V_{y} \\
I_{x} \\
V_{z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The $y$ - and z-terminals possess high impedances (infinite for ideal), and the $x$-terminal has the parasitic resistance $R_{x}$.

It should be noted that the CCCII has a unity voltage gain between the $y$ - and $x$ terminals and a unity current gain between the $x$ - and z-terminals. To increase the performance of CCCII or CCII by controlling the current gain between $x$ - and z-terminals, the CCCII/CCII with controlled current gain has been proposed [36-41]. Thus, a CCCII with controlled current gain has a limited resistance $R_{x}$ at $x$-terminal and the current gain between the $x$ - and z-terminals. Therefore, there are two parameters ( $R_{x}$ and current gain) available for user applications in the single CCCII with controlled current gain.

Figure 1 shows the proposed translinear current conveyors with controlled current gain, which was realized using bipolar junction transistors (BJTs). It was modified from [35] by adding current mirrors with adjustable gain $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{22}-\mathrm{Q}_{25}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{26}-\mathrm{Q}_{29}\right)$ [2,36].


Figure 1. Translinear current conveyors with controlled current gain, (a) schematic, (b) electrical symbol.
To obtain the required plus and minus current outputs of the translinear current conveyor, adding additional current mirrors and cross-coupled current mirrors [42] are used. Consider a translinear-mixed loop $\left(Q_{1}\right.$ to $\left.Q_{4}\right)$ and assume $Q_{5}-Q_{8}$ and $Q_{10}-Q_{12}$ around the loop are identical; the limited resistance $R_{x}$ at x-terminal can be given by [35]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{x}=\frac{V_{T}}{2 I_{\text {set }}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{\text {set }}$ is the bias current, and $V_{T}$ is the thermal voltage $\left(V_{T}=25.8 \mathrm{mV}\right.$ at room temperature). It should be noted that the resistance $R_{x}$ can be controlled by the dc bias current $I_{\text {set }}$.

Consider positive and negative current mirrors with adjustable gain by assuming that $\mathrm{Q}_{22}-\mathrm{Q}_{25}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{26}-\mathrm{Q}_{29}$ are identical; the current gain k of the current conveyor in Figure 1 can be given by $[2,36]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{I_{a}}{I_{b}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the signal current from $x$ - to kz-terminals is amplified by the factor $k$, which can be given by $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$. It should be noted that the factor k can be linearly controlled, which can only be obtained using BJT-based CCCII in Figure 1. Therefore,
the port characteristics of the translinear current conveyor with controlled current gain in Figure 1 can be expressed by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
I_{y}  \tag{4}\\
V_{x} \\
I_{z} \\
I_{k z}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 00 \\
1 & R_{x} & 00 \\
0 & \pm 1 & 00 \\
0 & \pm k & 00
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
V_{y} \\
I_{x} \\
V_{z} \\
V_{k z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The proposed current-mode versatile first-order filter is shown in Figure 2. It consists of two translinear current conveyors with controlled current gains and one grounded capacitor. The use of grounded capacitors is advantageous for integrated circuits because their behavior is less affected by noise and stray capacitance effects compared to circuits using floating capacitors. It should be noted that the input signal is applied to the lowimpedance (x-terminal) of CCCII, while the output signals are obtained from the highimpedance (z-terminal) of CCCII. Thus, the proposed filter provides low-input and highoutput impedances.


Figure 2. Proposed current-mode versatile first-order filter.
Using (4) and nodal analysis, the current outputs $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 3}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 4}$ of the proposed filter in Figure 2 can be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{o 1}=-I_{o 2}=k_{1}\left(\frac{s C_{1} R_{x 2}}{s C_{1} R_{x 2}+1}\right) I_{i n}  \tag{5}\\
& I_{o 3}=-I_{o 4}=k_{2}\left(\frac{1}{s C_{1} R_{x 2}+1}\right) I_{i n} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the proposed filter offers both non-inverting and inverting first-order transfer functions of HP and LP filters. The current gains of the HP and LP filters can be controlled by $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$, respectively, where $\mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 1} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 2} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 1}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 2}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 1}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ are the bias currents of the current mirrors with an adjustable gain of the CCCIIs. The non-inverting first-order AP filter (phase lag) can be obtained by connecting $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O} 2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O} 3}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O} 2}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 3}\right)$ and the inverting first-order AP filter (phase lead) can be obtained by connecting $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 4}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 4}\right)$. Their transfer functions can be expressed by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{I_{A P+}}{I_{i n}}=\frac{I_{o 2}+I_{o 3}}{I_{i n}}=k \frac{1-s C_{1} R_{x 2}}{1+s C_{1} R_{x 2}}=-k \frac{s C_{1} R_{x 2}-1}{s C_{1} R_{x 2}+1}  \tag{7}\\
\frac{I_{A P-}}{I_{i n}}=\frac{I_{o 1}+I_{o 4}}{I_{i n}}=k \frac{s C_{1} R_{x 2}-1}{s C_{1} R_{x 2}+1} \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{k}_{2}=\mathrm{k}$. Thus, the current gains of the AP filters can be controlled by k $\left(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 1} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 1}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 2} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 2}\right)$.

Equations (5)-(8) confirm that that the proposed filter offers six transfer functions of LP, HP, and AP filters from a single topology.

The pole frequency of all filters can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{o}=\frac{1}{s C_{1} R_{x 2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the pole frequency can be electronically controlled by $R_{x 2}$ through the dc bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\text {set2 }}$ of the $\mathrm{CCCII}_{2}$. It should be noted that the pole frequency can be adjusted by the single bias current $I_{\text {set2 }}$ without matching conditions for any parameter during the tuning process.

## 3. Non-Ideality Analysis

The relationship of the voltages and currents by taking the non-idealities of the translinear current conveyor with controlled current gain can be described as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
I_{y}  \tag{10}\\
V_{x} \\
I_{z} \\
I_{k z}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 00 \\
\alpha & R_{x} & 00 \\
0 & \pm \beta & 00 \\
0 & \pm \beta_{k} k & 00
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
V_{y} \\
I_{x} \\
V_{z} \\
V_{k z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\alpha=1-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}<1\right)$ is the voltage tracking error from y - to x -terminals, $\beta_{1}=1-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}<1\right)$ is the output current tracking error from x - to z -terminals, $\beta_{2}=1-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ik}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ik}}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ik}}<1\right)$ is the output current tracking error from x - to kz-terminals.

The various parasitic elements in the non-ideal CCCII symbol are shown in Figure 3. It shows that the x-terminal illustrates limited parasitic serial resistance $R_{x}$, the $y$-terminal illustrates high-value parasitic resistance $R_{y}$ in parallel with low-value parasitic capacitance $C_{y}$, the z-terminal illustrates high-value parasitic resistance $R_{z}$ in parallel with low-value parasitic capacitance $C_{z}$, and the kz-terminal illustrates high-value parasitic resistance $R_{k z}$ in parallel with low-value parasitic capacitance $C_{y}$.


Figure 3. CCCII with its parasitic components.
Using (10) and Figure 3, the current outputs $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 3}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 4}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{o 1}=-I_{o 2}=\beta_{k 1} k_{1}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}\left(s C_{T} R_{x 2}+G_{T} R_{x 2}\right)}{\left(s C_{T} R_{x 2}+G_{T} R_{x 2}\right)+\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \alpha_{2}}\right) I_{i n}  \tag{11}\\
& I_{o 3}=-I_{o 4}=\beta_{k 2} k_{2}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \alpha_{2}}{\left(s C_{T} R_{x 2}+G_{T} R_{x 2}\right)+\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \alpha_{2}}\right) I_{i n} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The transfer functions of APFs become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{I_{A P+}}{I_{\text {in }}}=\frac{I_{o 2}+I_{o 3}}{I_{i n}}=-\frac{\beta_{1} \beta_{k 1} k_{1}\left(s C_{T} R_{x 2}+G_{T} R_{x 2}\right)-\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \alpha_{2} \beta_{k 2} k_{2}}{\left(s C_{T} R_{x 2}+G_{T} R_{x 2}\right)+\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \alpha_{2}}  \tag{13}\\
& \frac{I_{A P-}}{I_{\text {in }}}=\frac{I_{o 1}+I_{o 4}}{I_{\text {in }}}=\frac{\beta_{1} \beta_{k 1} k_{1}\left(s C_{T} R_{x 2}+G_{T} R_{x 2}\right)-\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \alpha_{2} \beta_{k 2} k_{2}}{\left(s C_{T} R_{x 2}+G_{T} R_{x 2}\right)+\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \alpha_{2}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{T}=C_{1}+C_{z 1}+C_{y 2}, G_{T}=\left(1 / R_{z 1}\right) / /\left(1 / R_{y 1}\right)$.
All filters have a pole frequency that can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{o}=\frac{\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \alpha_{2}}{s C_{T} R_{x 2}+G_{T} R_{x 2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the impact of the parasitic capacitances $C_{z 1}+C_{y 2}$ can be eliminated if the large value of $C_{1}$ is used, and the impact of the parasitic resistances $R_{z 1} / / R_{y 1}$ can be eliminated if the low value of $R_{x 2}$ is given. However, the voltage and current gains of CCCIIs change the pole frequency.

## 4. Application to Quadrature Oscillator

Figure 4 shows the application of the proposed versatile filter as a current-mode quadrature oscillator. The current-mode high-pass filter has been selected, and it cascaded with a current-mode lossy integrator. When the circuit is connected as a feedback loop, the characteristic equation of the system can be stated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}\left(\frac{s C_{1} R_{x 2}}{s C_{1} R_{x 2}+1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{s C_{2} R_{x 3}+1}\right)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

High-Pass Filter


Figure 4. Current-mode quadrature oscillator.
The characteristic equation of the oscillator can be stated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} C_{1} C_{2} R_{x 2} R_{x 3}+s\left(C_{1} R_{x 2}+C_{2} R_{x 3}-k_{1} C_{1} R_{x 2}\right)+1=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system will generate the sinusoidal signal under the condition of oscillation (CO) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\frac{C_{1} R_{x 2}+C_{2} R_{x 3}}{C_{1} R_{x 2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x} 2}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x} 3}$, the CO becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=2 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x} 2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x} 3}$ are, respectively, the parasitic resistances at x-terminals of $\mathrm{CCCII}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CCCII}_{3}, \mathrm{k}_{1}$ is the current gain of $\mathrm{CCCII}_{1}$.

The frequency of oscillation (FO) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{o}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_{1} C_{2} R_{x 2} R_{x 3}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The CO is controlled by current gain $k_{1}$ and the $F O$ is controlled by $R_{x 2}$ and $R_{x 3}$ $\left(R_{x 2}=R_{x 3}\right)$. Thus, the CO and FO can be controlled electronically and independently.

Consider Figure 4, the $\mathrm{CCCII}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ work as a lossless integrator, and the input is $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}$ where $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}^{-}}=-\mathrm{kI}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$. Thus, the relationship of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}$ can be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{o 2}=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{s C_{1} R_{x 2}}\right) I_{o 1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the phase difference between $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}$ is $90^{\circ}$, the phase difference between $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 3}$ is $180^{\circ}$, and the phase of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}$ leads the phase of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$ for $90^{\circ}$. Therefore, the proposed current-mode quadrature oscillator provides three output currents with a phase shift of $90^{\circ}$.

It could be noted that output currents $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O} 2}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O} 3}$ are supplied from z-terminals of CCCII, so they have a high impedance level that can be fed to the load without the use of buffer circuits.

## 5. Simulation Results

SPICE simulations were performed to verify the characteristics of the proposed versatile filter in Figure 2. The CCCII in Figure 1 was performed with the transistor model parameters of AT\&T's ALA400 CBIC-R process [43]. The DC supply voltage was $\pm 2.5 \mathrm{~V}$, and the capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ were 10 nF . The bias currents $\mathrm{I}_{\text {set } 1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{bi}}$ were equal to $25 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, and the bias current $I_{a i}$ was used to control the current gain $k_{i}(i=1,2)$. The summarized performance of the CCCII used in this paper is shown in Table 1. Figure 5 illustrates the magnitude (a) and phase (b) responses of the LP and HP filters when the bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\text {set2 }}$ was given as $10 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, and the bias currents $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ were given $25 \mu \mathrm{~A}$. The simulated pole frequency was 12.8 kHz , and the power consumption was 2.72 mW , whereas the theoretical value of the pole frequency was 12.24 kHz . Thus, the percent error of simulated pole frequency was $1.96 \%$. The high-frequency limitation of the filter is approximately 10 MHz , and the magnitude of filters such as HP and AP responses will be slowly decreased when the frequency is higher than appropriately 5 MHz .

Table 1. Summarized performances of used CCCII.

| Parameters | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Supply voltage | $\pm 2.5 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| Technology | BJT (ALA400 CBIC-R) |
| DC voltage range | -1.7 V to 1.7 V |
| Voltage gain | 0.999 |
| Current gain: |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}-} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 1.01 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{kz}+} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{k}=1)$ | 1.02 |
| -3 dB bandwidth VF | 37.4 MHz |
| -3 dB bandwidth CF: |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}-} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 14.6 MHz |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{kz}+} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{k}=1)$ | 14.6 MHz |
| Power consumption $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\text {set }}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}=25 \mu \mathrm{~A}\right)$ | 1.84 mW |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}=1-100 \mu \mathrm{~A}\right)$ | $13.27 \mathrm{k} \Omega-0.134 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{y}} / / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | $1.48 \mathrm{M} \Omega / / 5 \mathrm{pF}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{z}-} / / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{z}-}$ | $375 \mathrm{k} \Omega / / 6 \mathrm{pF}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{kz}+} / / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{kz}+}$ | $373.7 \mathrm{k} \Omega / / 4.2 \mathrm{pF}$ |

Figure 6 shows the magnitude and phase responses of the AP filters. Figure 6a shows the magnitude and phase responses of the non-inverting AP filter (phase lag) obtained by summing up $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{03}$, and Figure 6 b shows the magnitude and phase responses of the inverting AP filter (phase lead) obtained by summing up $\mathrm{I}_{01}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{04}$. It is clear from Figures 5 and 6 that the proposed filter can provide both non-inverting and inverting transfer functions of LP, HP, and AP filters in the same topology.

The non-inverting AP filter has been used by applying the input frequency of 1 kHz and varying the amplitude to test the linearity of the proposed filter. The total harmonic distortion (THD) with different amplitudes of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{in}}$ is shown in Figure 7, which shows that the THD was $1 \%$ for the amplitude of $40 \mu \mathrm{~A}_{\text {p-p }}$.


Figure 5. Frequency responses of LP and HP filters, (a) magnitude, (b) phase.


Figure 6. Magnitude and phase frequency responses of AP filters, (a) non-inverting (phase-lag), (b) inverting (phase-lead).


Figure 7. The THD with different amplitude of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{in}}$.
Figure 8 showed the frequency responses when the pole frequency was varied by $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x} 2}$ via the bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{set} 2}$. Figure 8a shows the variant magnitude frequency response of the LP filter, (b) variant magnitude frequency response of the HP filter, (c) variant phase frequency response of the non-inverting AP filter (phase-lag), (d) phase frequency response of the inverting AP filter (phase-lead), when the bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\text {set2 }}$ was changed as $5 \mu \mathrm{~A}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, $25 \mu \mathrm{~A}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ and the obtaining pole frequency were, respectively, 6.33 kHz , $12.8 \mathrm{kHz}, 31.13 \mathrm{kHz}, 60.98 \mathrm{kHz}$, and 117.61 kHz . This result is used to confirm that the proposed filter can tune the pole frequency using the single bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\text {set2 }}$ without matching conditions for other parameters.

Figure 9 shows the magnitude frequency responses for (a) LP filter, (b) HP filter, and (c) AP filter when the gains were varied by $k_{1}$ and/or $k_{2}$ via the bias currents $I_{a 1}$ and/or $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 2}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 1}\right.$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ were set to $25 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ ). The current gains were $-0.36 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.3 \mathrm{~dB}, 6.1 \mathrm{~dB}, 9.6 \mathrm{~dB}$, and 11.8 dB when the bias currents $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ and/or $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ were set to $15 \mu \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{k}=0.6), 25 \mu \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{k}=1)$, $50 \mu \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{k}=2), 75 \mu \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{k}=3)$, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{k}=4)$, respectively.

(a)

Figure 8. Cont.


Figure 8. Magnitude and phase frequency responses when pole frequency is varied by $\mathrm{I}_{\text {set2 }}$ for (a) LP filter, (b) HP filter, (c) non-inverting AP filter (phase-lag), (d) inverting AP filter (phase-lead).


Figure 9. Magnitude frequency responses when magnitude is varied for (a) LP filter, (b) HP filter, (c) AP filter.

The simulated magnitude frequency responses of the LP, HP, and AP filters for process, voltage, and temperature (PVT) corners were investigated. Figures 10 and 11 show, respectively, the results of the Monte-Carlo (MC) analysis were variations of the beta $(\beta)$ in BJT by $10 \%$ (LOT tolerance) and supply voltages by $\pm 10 \%$. Figure 12 shows the magnitude frequency responses when the temperature was changed from -20 to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It can be noted that the magnitude frequency responses were slightly changed when the process, voltage, and temperature were varied. From Figure 10, the maximum variations of passband gains of LP, HP, and AP filters were, respectively, about $0.07 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.15 \mathrm{~dB}$, and 0.06 dB , while the maximum variations of the passband gains of LP, HP, and AP filters were respectively about $0.14 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.11 \mathrm{~dB}$, and 0.19 dB for Figure 11, and the maximum variations of passband gains of LP, HP, and AP filters were, respectively, about $0.32 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.69 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.53 \mathrm{~dB}$ for Figure 12. Since temperature also affects the pole frequency via $R_{x 1}$ and $R_{x 2}$ (2), considering the temperatures of $-20^{\circ}$ and $85^{\circ}$, the pole frequencies were respectively 14.37 kHz and 11.14 kHz , which differed by 3.23 kHz .


Figure 10. Magnitude frequency responses for process corner, (a) LP and HP filters, (b) AP filter.


Figure 11. Magnitude frequency responses voltage corner, (a) LP and HP filters, (b) AP filter.


Figure 12. Magnitude frequency responses when temperature is varied from -20 to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (a) LP , and HP filters, (b) AP filter.

The LP response was simulated by setting $5 \%$ tolerances of the capacitor $C_{1}$ at the pole frequency of 12.8 kHz and 200 Gaussian distribution runs. Figure 13 shows the derived histogram of the cut-off frequency, which expressed that the standard deviation $(\sigma)$ of $f_{\mathrm{o}}$ was 0.631 kHz , and the maximum and minimum values of $f_{\mathrm{o}}$ were, respectively, 14.496 kHz and 11.518 kHz . It is worth noting that thanks to the electronic tunability of the filter, the deviation of the cut-off frequency and the gain could be easily readjusted by the $I_{\text {set } 2}$ and $I_{a}$, respectively.


Figure 13. The histogram of the cut-off frequency of the LP filter with 200 runs of MC analysis.
The comparison of the proposed filter with the previous works is in Table 2. The VM first-order filter in [10], mixed-mode (MM) first-order filters [11,34], and CM firstorder filters in $[11,24,26,29]$ have been used to compare. Compared with [10,11,26,29], the proposed filter offers six transfer functions similar to [24], but for the filter in [24], the gain of the transfer functions cannot be controlled. The MM first-order filter in [34] offers VM, trans-admittance mode (TAM), CM, and trans-impedance mode (TIM) operation from the same circuit structure, but each operation mode provides only three transfer functions of LP, HP, and AP filters whereas the proposed filter offers six transfer functions of LP, HP, AP filters. Compared to $[10,11,24,34]$, this realization uses only grounded capacitors and does not require a passive resistor. Finally, compared to $[11,24,34]$, the proposed current-mode filter offers low-input and high-output impedances.

Table 2. Comparison with previous first-order filters.

| Features | Proposed | [10] 2022 | [11] 2021 | [24] 2017 | [26] 2019 | [29] 2022 | [34] 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active and passive elements | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { CCCII, } \\ & 2 \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { LT1228, } \\ 2 \text { R, } \\ 1 \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { CVCII, } \\ 1 \mathrm{C}, \\ 2 \mathrm{R} \\ \text { (Figure 2) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { ICCII, } \\ & 1 \text { C, } \\ & 1 \mathrm{MOS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { DXCCTA, } \\ & 2 \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { MOCDTA, } \\ 1 \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 1 VDGA, <br> 1 C , <br> 1 R |
| Realization | BJT process (ALA400 CBIC-R) | Commercial IC | CMOS structure ( $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) | CMOS structure ( $0.13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) | CMOS structure ( $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) | CMOS structure ( $0.13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) | CMOS structure ( $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) |
| Mode operation | CM | VM | CM, TIM | CM | CM | CM | MM |
| Type of filter | SIMO | MISO | SIMO | SIMO | SIMO | MIMO | MIMO |
| Number of filtering functions | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { (LP+, LP-, } \\ & \text { HP+, HP-, } \\ & \text { AP+, AP-) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { (LP+, HP+ } \\ \text { AP+, AP-) } \end{gathered}$ | 2 (LP+, AP+) | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { (LP+, LP-, } \\ & \text { HP+, HP-, } \\ & \text { AP+, AP-) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { (LP-, HP+ } \\ \text { AP-) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3(\mathrm{LP}+, \mathrm{HP}+, \\ \mathrm{AP}+) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { (LP-, HP+, } \\ \text { AP-) } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 2. Cont.

| Features | Proposed | [10] 2022 | [11] 2021 | [24] 2017 | [26] 2019 | [29] 2022 | [34] 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronic control of gain | Yes | LP+, HP+ | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| Low-input and high-output impedance | Yes | - | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Using grounded capacitor/resistor | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Pole frequency (kHz) | 12.3 | 90 | 89-1000 | 2600 | 10,000 | 1590 | 1590 |
| Electronic control of parameter $\omega_{0}$ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Total harmonic distortion (\%) | $1 @ 40 \mu \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{pp}}$ | $1 @ 200$ mV ${ }_{\text {pp }}$ | $2 @ 30 \mu \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{pp}}$ | $\begin{gathered} <1.5 @ 90 \\ \mu \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{pp}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Power supply voltages (V) | $\pm 2.5$ | $\pm 5$ | $\pm 0.9$ | $\pm 0.75$ | $\pm 1.25$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm 0.9$ |
| Power consumption (mW) | 2.72 | 57.6 | 1.057 | 4.08 | 1.75 | 2.5 | 1.31 |
| Verification of result | Sim. | Exp. | Sim./Exp. | Sim. | Sim./Exp. | Sim./Exp. | Sim./Exp. |

Note: MOCDTA = multiple-output current differencing transconductance amplifier, DXCCTA = dual-X current conveyor transconductance amplifier, VDGA = voltage differencing gain amplifier, CVCII = Electronically controllable second-generation voltage conveyors, $\mathrm{TIM}=$ trans-impedance mode, SIMO = single-input multiple-output, MISO = multiple-input single-output, MIMO = multiple-input multiple-output, $\mathrm{MM}=$ mixed-mode .

The current-mode quadrature oscillator in Figure 4 was simulated, and the CCCII with controlled current gain in Figure 1a was used. The bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ of $\mathrm{CCCII}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CCCII}_{2}$ were set to $25 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, and the bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ of $\mathrm{CCCII}_{1}$ was $36 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ for controlling the condition of oscillation. Figure 14 shows the simulated outputs of the oscillator when $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ were set to 10 nF , the bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\text {set1 }}$ of $\mathrm{CCCII}_{1}$ and the bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\text {set3 }}$ of $\mathrm{CCCII}_{2}$ were given as $25 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, and the bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\text {set2 }}$ of $\mathrm{CCCII}_{2}$ was given as $10 \mu \mathrm{~A}$. The oscillating frequency of 20.8 kHz was obtained, whereas the theoretical value of the oscillating frequency was 19.35 kHz . It could be noted that the amplitudes of output currents $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 3}$ were almost equal. In this case, the bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ of $\mathrm{CCCII}_{2}$ of $50 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ was used to control the currents $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 3}$. It can also be noted that when the condition of oscillation was varied by $R_{\mathrm{x} 2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x} 3}$ and led to the difference of amplitudes of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 3}$, the problem can be solved by adjusting $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ of $\mathrm{CCCII}_{2}$ via the bias current $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ to achieve the same amplitudes. This option of tuning is available thanks to the advantage of the CCCII circuit with controlled gain.


Figure 14. Simulated outputs of oscillator, (a) running oscillation, (b) steady state, (c) quadrature relationship between $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 2}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o} 3}$.

## 6. Conclusions

A new current-mode first-order versatile filter using two translinear current conveyors with controlled current gain and one grounded capacitor is presented in this paper. The proposed filter offers the following features: (1) realizations of non-inverting and inverting transfer functions of low-pass, high-pass, and all-pass filters into single topology, (2) control of the current gain for all transfer functions of the filters, (3) electronic control of a pole frequency, (4) no requirement of component-matching conditions for realizing all filter responses, (5) low-input impedance and high-output impedance. The proposed first-order filter has been applied to realize the current-mode quadrature sinusoidal oscillator. The proposed filter and its application were simulated with SPICE to confirm characteristics and workability.
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