## Opinion of the Habilitation Committee

to the proposal for the appointment of the candidate:

.......................... (first name, last name, titles - in the first line)

associate professor for the field: **..........................**

Commission:

The Chair: .......................... (name, surname, titles)

.......................... (university, Faculty, employment)

Members: .......................... (same)

..........................

..........................

..........................

..........................

..........................

..........................

..........................

Opponents: .......................... (name, surname, titles). (university, Faculty, employment)

................................

.......................................

Opinion of the Habilitation Committee - text

*Requirements for the structure and content of the text part - methodological instructions - do not mention in the text*

*Pursuant to Section 72 of Act No.111/1998 Coll. on Higher Education and on Amendments and Additions to Other Acts, the content of the assessment consists of the applicant's scientific or artistic qualifications and his/her teaching experience. The basis for the assessment is:*

1. *documentation submitted by the candidate to the Dean and forwarded by him/her to the Faculty Board and the Habilitation Committee after verification that it has all the necessary elements (§ 72 of the Higher Education Act) or after modifications after the elimination of the formulated defects; the assessment is not a simple list or copy of parts of the design (a reference is sufficient);*
2. *evaluation of the level of the habilitation thesis on the basis of the opinions of the opponents appointed by the habilitation committee and assessment of their approach to the form and content of their opinions.*

The text section has a structure:

1. *Assessment of the applicant's educational profile.*
2. *Assessment of the applicant's career profile.*
3. *Assessment of creative activities according to Article2 a 3 of Guideline 9/2018 and the approach to self-evaluation in relation to the field of appointment.*
4. *Assessment of the applicant's pedagogical profile in relation to teaching practice and the link to pedagogical competences and their development.*
5. *Evaluation of the habilitation thesis in terms of the form and content of processing and development of the field of appointment.*
6. *Comprehensive assessment of the candidate's personality profile in relation to the values expected of an associate professor.*

Vote of the Habilitation Committee:

In a secret ballot of the members of the Habilitation Committee, a resolution was approved to propose to the Scientific Board *of the Faculty.................................... the appointment of .......... (full* name incl. titles) *as Associate Professor in the field of ............*

The result of the vote in accordance with Guideline No. 9/2018:

number of voters (present/corresponding)

number of positive votes ..........................

number of negative votes ........................

abstained ............................

In ............ on ............ (legible signatures of committee members)